



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/666,681		09/18/2003	Leonard Robert Speiser	3801P093	8255	
49845	7590	06/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MAN,	LUNDBERG, WOE	O'CONNOR, GERALD J			
EBAY P.O. BOX 2	2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			3627			
•				DATE MAILED: 06/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer:	10/666,681	Speiser et al.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	O'Connor	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
American (C.)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050614					

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14 and 29, drawn to a method of business, finance, or management comprising generic or non-electrical computing, classified in class 705, subclass 500.
 - II. Claims 15-22, drawn to a method of business comprising electronic shopping(e.g., remote ordering), classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - III. Claims 23-26, drawn to a data processing apparatus comprising database or file accessing by means of sorting, classified in class 707, subclass 7.
 - IV. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to a data processing apparatus comprising database or file accessing by means of distributed or remote access, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
 - V. Claims 30 and 31, drawn to a networked electrical computer or digital processing system comprising interconnected networks, classified in class 709, subclass 218.
 - VI. Claims 32 and 33, drawn to a networked electrical computer or digital processing system comprising remote server accessing, classified in class 709, subclass 219.
 - VII. Claim 34, drawn to an electronic shopping system comprising an arrangement presenting a description of a sales item, classified in class 705, subclass 27.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are each related to each of Inventions III-VII, as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that *either*: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, *or* (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, each process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, such as by hand.

Inventions I, III, and V are respectively related to Inventions II, IV, and VI as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In this case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, because a system in accordance with any of Inventions I, III, or V need not include any provision for providing a link. The subcombinations each have separate utility by themselves.

Invention VII is related to each of Inventions III-VI as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In this case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombinations as

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

claimed, because a system in accordance with Invention VII need not include any machinereadable medium or modules. The subcombinations have separate utility by themselves.

Lastly, Inventions V and VI are respectively related to Inventions III and IV as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In this case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, because they need not include any machine-readable medium. The subcombinations have separate utility by themselves.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was placed to Mr. André L. Marais (Reg. No. 48,095), attorney for applicant, on June 14, 2005, to discuss an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the call did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, *must* include an election of the invention to be examined, even if the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3627 Page 5

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6787, and whose facsimile number is (571) 273-6787.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alexander Kalinowski, can be reached at (571) 272-6771.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (703) 872-9306**. Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

June 14, 2005

Gerald J. O'Connor
Primary Examiner

(6-14-05)

Group Art Unit 3627